


## Total Synthesis of Cytotoxic Macrolide Amphidinolide B and the Proposed Structure of Amphidinolide B

Liang Lu, Wei Zhang, and Rich G. Carter

*J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2008**, 130 (23), 7253-7255 • DOI: 10.1021/ja803012n • Publication Date (Web): 20 May 2008

Downloaded from <http://pubs.acs.org> on February 8, 2009



### More About This Article

Additional resources and features associated with this article are available within the HTML version:

- Supporting Information
- Links to the 2 articles that cite this article, as of the time of this article download
- Access to high resolution figures
- Links to articles and content related to this article
- Copyright permission to reproduce figures and/or text from this article

[View the Full Text HTML](#)



**ACS Publications**  
 High quality. High impact.

## Total Synthesis of Cytotoxic Macrolide Amphidinolide B<sub>1</sub> and the Proposed Structure of Amphidinolide B<sub>2</sub>

Liang Lu, Wei Zhang, and Rich G. Carter\*

Department of Chemistry, Oregon State University, 153 Gilbert Hall, Corvallis, Oregon 97331


Received April 23, 2008; E-mail: rich.carter@oregonstate.edu

Amphidinolide B<sub>1</sub> (**1**) and its C<sub>18</sub> epimer amphidinolide B<sub>2</sub> (**2**) have generated significant attention from the synthetic community<sup>1,2</sup> because of their potent cytotoxic activity against several cancer cell lines. In fact, macrolide **1** is the most potent member of this family with a reported IC<sub>50</sub> value of 0.14 ng/mL against L1210 murine leukemia cell line.<sup>3</sup> Despite these efforts, their total syntheses remain elusive targets. Macrolides **1** and **2** represent considerable synthetic challenges because of their highly substituted C<sub>13</sub>–C<sub>15</sub> diene functionality,<sup>1c,4</sup> the densely functionalized C<sub>21</sub>–C<sub>26</sub> right-hand portion, the labile C<sub>6</sub>–C<sub>9</sub> epoxy alkene, and a 26-membered lactone. Our retrosynthetic strategy starts by disconnection at C<sub>8,9</sub> to reveal the aldehydes **3** and **4** (Scheme 1). Further cleavage of the ester linkage at C<sub>25</sub> would lead to the alcohols **5** and **6** and the keto-phosphonate **7**. The C<sub>18</sub>–C<sub>19</sub> bond should be available via a diastereoselective aldol reaction with the aldehyde **8** and α-oxy ketone **9**. We have previously reported a chelation strategy for the synthesis of the 18*S* stereochemistry present in amphidinolide B<sub>1</sub><sup>1b,c,5</sup> and this approach has been used by others in the field,<sup>6</sup> including Fürstner's recent total syntheses of amphidinolide G and H.<sup>6a</sup> Herein, we report a nonchelation strategy for construction of the C<sub>18</sub> stereochemistry and its application to the total syntheses of cytotoxic macrolide amphidinolide B<sub>1</sub> (**1**) and the proposed structure of amphidinolide B<sub>2</sub> (**2**).


Our syntheses of the aldehyde and methyl ketone subunits commenced with the previously reported intermediates **11** and **14** (Scheme 2).<sup>1a,c</sup> Conversion of the C<sub>9</sub> nitrile **11** into the corresponding acetate **12** followed by selective functionalization of the C<sub>18,19</sub> alkene using AD mix β\* provided the C<sub>18,19</sub> diol as an inconsequential 6:1 mixture of diastereomers.<sup>1c</sup> Cleavage of the resultant diol with sodium periodate provided the desired aldehyde **8**. For the synthesis of the Eastern subunit **9**, Horner–Wadsworth–Emmons olefination of aldehyde **14** followed by dihydroxylation yielded the diol **16**. Next, bis-silylation followed by selective C<sub>25</sub> TES deprotection yielded the free alcohol at C<sub>25</sub>. Finally, Mitsunobu inversion of the alcohol followed by saponification and TMS protection revealed the ketone **9**.

The key diastereoselective aldol coupling is shown in Scheme 3. Treatment of ketone **9** under our standard LDA, Et<sub>2</sub>O/THF conditions that proved effective with the C<sub>21</sub> OPMB series<sup>1c</sup> resulted in low conversion and poor diastereoselectivity favoring the 18*S* stereochemistry [approximately 1.5:1 dr (**5:6**)]. Interestingly, addition of TMEDA led to a dramatic rate acceleration and a reversal of the selectivity [2:1 dr (**6:5**)]. Additional cooling of the reaction to –100 °C led to improved diastereoselectivity [8:1 dr (**6:5**)] in reasonable yield (65% overall). While we are still exploring the nature of the diastereoselectivity, one possible explanation could be a transition state which minimizes the dipoles of the C<sub>21</sub> C–O σ bond and the enolate.<sup>7</sup> The alternate 18*S* diastereomer can be obtained by performing the reaction at higher temperatures (–40 °C,

Scheme 1. Retrosynthetic Analysis of Amphidinolide B<sub>2</sub>





Scheme 2. Synthesis of Western and Eastern Subunits<sup>a</sup>



<sup>a</sup> (i) DIBAL-H, PhMe; (ii) NaBH<sub>4</sub>, EtOH/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (1:1), 85% (two steps); (iii) Ac<sub>2</sub>O, pyr., CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 94%; (iv) AD Mix β\*, *t*-BuOH, H<sub>2</sub>O, 88%, 6:1 dr; (v) NaIO<sub>4</sub>, THF, H<sub>2</sub>O, 99%; (vi) **15**, NaH, DME, 76%; (vii) K<sub>2</sub>OsO<sub>2</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O, (DHQ)<sub>2</sub>PHAL, K<sub>6</sub>Fe(CN)<sub>3</sub>, MeSO<sub>2</sub>NH<sub>2</sub>, K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, *t*-BuOH, H<sub>2</sub>O, 77%, 10:1 dr; (viii) TESOTf, 2,6-lut., CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, –78 °C, 92%; (ix) HOAc: THF: H<sub>2</sub>O (8:8:1), 0 °C, 89%; (x) DEAD, Ph<sub>3</sub>P, 4-NO<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>H, THF, 82%; (xi) then Ba(OH)<sub>2</sub>·8H<sub>2</sub>O, MeOH, 0 °C, 72%; (xii) TMSOTf, 2,6-lutidine, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, –78 °C, 95%.


1.2:1 dr **5:6**). Silyl protection of alcohols **5** and **6** separately using TESCI/DMAP yielded the silyl ether compounds **17** and **18**, respectively.

With an effective strategy for the coupling of the Eastern and Western subunits in hand, we turned our attention to the key macrocyclization event (Scheme 4). We chose to initially explore this sequence on the 18*R* compound **18**. TMS deprotection of silyl ether **18** at C<sub>25</sub> and incorporation of the C<sub>1</sub>–C<sub>8</sub> subunit provided


Scheme 3. Key Diastereoselective Aldol Coupling<sup>a</sup>Scheme 4. Closure of the Macrocycle<sup>a</sup>

the keto phosphonate **20**.<sup>8</sup> Removal of the C<sub>9</sub> acetate followed by Ley's TPAP oxidation revealed the target aldehyde **4**. The corresponding aldehyde **4** proved to be highly reactive as attempted isolation led to considerable loss of material. Interestingly, significant amounts of the aldehyde **4**, formed during the TPAP oxidation, appeared to undergo *spontaneous intramolecular Wadsworth–Emmons olefination* to provide the desired macrocycle **22**. The conversion could be driven to completion by the addition of LiCl and Hunig's base<sup>9</sup> in 51% yield. A similar sequence was followed for construction of the 18S macrocycle **21**. In this case, Ba(OH)<sub>2</sub> proved more effective for driving the macrocyclization to completion. Additionally, we were pleased to observe that macrocycle **21** crystallized upon standing—allowing us to confirm the stereochemistry in **21**.<sup>10</sup>

With an efficient route into the macrocycles **21** and **22**, the final challenges were the incorporation of the C<sub>6</sub>–C<sub>9</sub> allylic epoxide moiety and deprotection. As before, we explored the initial chemistry on the 18R macrolactone **22** (Scheme 5). Our previous experiences with deprotection of late stage amphidinolide B intermediates made us mindful of the difficulty of the final deprotection sequence.<sup>14</sup> Regioselective and stereoselective reduction of the C<sub>7</sub> carbonyl functionality could be accomplished with the (*S*)-CBS reagent.<sup>11</sup> We next had intended to epoxidize the alkene under Sharpless conditions,<sup>12</sup> however, the presumed steric congest-

Scheme 5. Synthesis of Proposed Structure of Amphidinolide B<sub>2</sub> and its C<sub>8,9</sub> Diastereomer<sup>a</sup>

tion of the C<sub>7</sub> alcohol thwarted this approach. Fortunately, use of Ti(O*i*-Pr)<sub>4</sub> and TBHP in the *absence* of DIPT led to formation of both the *syn* and *anti* diastereomers—favoring the *syn* stereochemistry (2:1 dr).<sup>13</sup> As the *syn* diastereomer **24** contained the proposed stereochemistry in amphidinolide B<sub>2</sub>, we initially proceeded forward with that diastereomer. Selenide incorporation<sup>14</sup> and elimination under our recently developed TPAP/NMO conditions<sup>14,15</sup> yielded the fully functionalized macrocycle. Unfortunately, all attempts to remove the silyl protecting groups under fluoride or acidic conditions led to decomposition. Suspecting that the allylic epoxide might be the culpable functionality, we next explored global deprotection on the epoxy selenide **26**. We were quite pleased to find that treatment with TAS-F<sup>6a</sup> cleanly removed all silyl protecting groups to provide the polyol **28**. The final selenide oxidation/*syn* elimination proved problematic under standard (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) conditions. This issue was not completely surprising as we have previously encountered this problem in our azaspiracid work<sup>15</sup> as well as a previous generation amphidinolide approach.<sup>14</sup> We have attributed this deleterious reactivity to the α-hydroxy ketone moiety. Although our TPAP/NMO conditions<sup>15</sup> are not compatible with the polyol functionality of **28**, we were gratified to find that bistrimethylsi-

Scheme 6. Total Synthesis of Amphidinolide B<sub>1</sub><sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> (i) (*S*)-CBS, BH<sub>3</sub>·DMS, THF, −30 °C, 3 h, 3.6:1 dr, 74%; (ii) Ti(Oi-Pr)<sub>4</sub>, TBHP, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, −20 °C, 5 h, mol. sieves, 77%, 1.5:1 dr (31:32); (iii) Bu<sub>3</sub>P, *o*-NO<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>SeCN, THF, 89%; (iv) TAS-F, DMF/THF/H<sub>2</sub>O (10/1/0.02), 0 °C, 98%; (v) TMSOOTMS, NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 65%; (vi) Bu<sub>3</sub>P, *o*-NO<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>SeCN, THF, 45%; (vii) TAS-F, DMF/THF/H<sub>2</sub>O (10/1/0.02), 0 °C, 73%; (viii) TMSOOTMS, NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 68%.

lyperoxide (TMSOOTMS) cleanly facilitated selenide oxidation with in situ syn elimination to reveal product **2**. Surprisingly, this compound **2** did not match with the spectra data provided for the natural product amphidinolide B<sub>2</sub>.<sup>3</sup> We followed a similar sequence to the C<sub>8,9</sub> epoxide diastereomer **30** which too did not correspond with the reported data for amphidinolide B<sub>2</sub>. In both cases, the <sup>1</sup>H NMR shift for the H<sub>14</sub> alkene was shifted significantly downfield as compared to the natural product data. Careful inspection of the isolation paper revealed that the stereochemical analysis of amphidinolide B<sub>2</sub> was based primarily on the differences in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR in the C<sub>17</sub>–C<sub>19</sub> region of the natural product as compared to amphidinolide B<sub>1</sub> (**1**).<sup>3b</sup> It is important to note that Shimizu and Clardy obtained X-ray crystallographic structure of natural product **1**.<sup>3b</sup> It is clear from our work that the structural differences between amphidinolide B<sub>1</sub> and B<sub>2</sub> are more complicated than initially expected. On the basis of this information, we have concluded that the proposed structure of amphidinolide B<sub>2</sub> is incorrect.

Next, we shifted our focus to the total synthesis of amphidinolide B<sub>1</sub> (**1**) (Scheme 6). We applied an analogous strategy for the synthesis of **1** as was described for the 18*R* series. It appears that a slight reversal in selectivity in the epoxidation occurs with the 18*S* stereochemistry—now with a modest preference for the undesired C<sub>8,9</sub> epoxide. Fortunately, these diastereomers are chromatographically separable. Conversion of syn epoxide **32** to the selenide followed by TAS-F deprotection yielded the penultimate intermediate. Finally, we were grateful to find that tandem selenide oxidation/elimination using our bis-TMS peroxide conditions yielded the natural product amphidinolide B<sub>1</sub> (**1**). The synthesized material **1** matched with the spectra data reported by Kobayashi and co-workers for amphidinolide B<sub>1</sub>.<sup>3c</sup>

In summary, the first total syntheses of amphidinolide B<sub>1</sub> and the proposed structure of amphidinolide B<sub>2</sub> have been achieved. The longest linear sequence is 25 steps from commercially available lactic acid. Determination of the actual structure of amphidinolide B<sub>2</sub> will be reported in due course.

**Acknowledgment.** Financial support was provided by the National Institutes of Health (NIH) (Grant GM63723) and Oregon State University (OSU). The authors would like to thank Dr. Lev N. Zakharov (OSU and University of Oregon) for X-ray crystallographic analysis of compound **21**, Professor Max Deinzer (OSU) and Dr. Jeff Morr  (OSU) for mass spectra data, Rodger Kohnert (OSU) and Dr. Clemens Anklin (Br ker Biospin) for NMR assistance, and Dr. Roger Hanselmann (Rib-X Pharmaceuticals) for his helpful discussions.

**Supporting Information Available:** Complete experimental procedures are provided, including <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C spectra, of all new compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

## References

- (1) (a) Zhang, W.; Carter, R. G.; Yokochi, A. F. T. *J. Org. Chem.* **2004**, *69*, 2569–2572. (b) Carter, R. G.; Zhang, W. ORGN-398. 227th National Meeting of the American Chemical Society, Anaheim, CA; American Chemical Society: Washington, DC, 2004. (c) Zhang, W.; Carter, R. G. *Org. Lett.* **2005**, *7*, 4209–4212. (d) Zhang, W. Ph.D. Dissertation, Oregon State University, Oregon, 2006.
- (2) (a) Chakraborty, T. K.; Thippewamy, D.; Suresh, V. R.; Jayaprakash, S. *Chem. Lett.* **1997**, 563–564. (b) Chakraborty, T. K.; Suresh, V. R. *Chem. Lett.* **1997**, 565–566. (c) Lee, D. H.; Lee, S.-W. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1997**, *38*, 7909–7910. (d) Ohi, K.; Shima, K.; Hamada, K.; Saito, Y.; Yamada, N.; Ohba, S.; Nishiyama, S. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1998**, *71*, 2433–2440. (e) Ohi, K.; Nishiyama, S. *Synlett* **1999**, 571–572. (f) Ohi, K.; Nishiyama, S. *Synlett* **1999**, 573–575. (g) Eng, H. M.; Myles, D. C. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1999**, *40*, 2275–2278. (h) Eng, H. M.; Myles, D. C. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1999**, *40*, 2279–2282. (i) Chakraborty, T. K.; Thippewamy, D. *Synlett* **1999**, 150–152. (j) Ishiyama, H.; Takemura, T.; Tsuda, M.; Kobayashi, J. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1* **1999**, 1163–1166. (k) Cid, B.; Pattenden, G. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2000**, *41*, 2573–2576. (l) Ndubaku, C. O.; Jamison, T. F. ORGN-392. 227th National Meeting of the American Chemical Society, Anaheim, CA; American Chemical Society: Washington, DC, 2004. (m) Mandal, A. K.; Schneekloth, J. S., Jr.; Crews, C. M. *Org. Lett.* **2005**, *7*, 3645–3648; correction: *Org. Lett.* **2006**, *7*, 5347–5348. (n) Gopalaraman, A.; Nelson, S. G. *Org. Lett.* **2006**, *8*, 7–10. (o) Mandal, A. K.; Schneekloth, J. S., Jr.; Kuramochi, K.; Crews, C. M. *Org. Lett.* **2006**, *8*, 427–430.
- (3) (a) Ishibashi, M.; Ishiyama, H.; Kobayashi, J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1994**, *35*, 8241–8242. (b) Bauer, I.; Maranda, L.; Shimizu, Y.; Peterson, R. W.; Cornell, L.; Steiner, J. R.; Clardy, J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1994**, *116*, 2657–2658. (c) Tsuda, M.; Kubota, T.; Sakuma, Y.; Kobayashi, J. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **2001**, *49*, 1366–1367.
- (4) The combination of the C<sub>16</sub> tertiary alcohol with the highly substituted C<sub>13</sub>–C<sub>15</sub> diene imparts a unique challenge because traditional cross coupling strategies for its formation have proven problematic. This key tertiary C<sub>16</sub> alcohol is **not** present in amphidinolides G and H.
- (5) Chakraborty, T. K.; Suresh, V. R. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1998**, *39*, 7775–7778.
- (6) (a) F rstner, A.; Bouchez, L. C.; Funel, J.-A.; Liepins, V.; Por e, F.-H.; Gilmour, R.; Beauvais, F.; Laurich, D.; Tamiya, M. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2007**, *46*, 9425–9430. (b) Deng, L.; Ma, Z.; Zhang, Y.; Zhao, G. *Synlett* **2007**, 87–90.
- (7) Figueras, S.; Mart n, R.; Romea, P.; Urp , F.; Vilarrasa, J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1997**, *38*, 1637–1640.
- (8) The keto phosphonate **7** was prepared in four steps from the commercially available 5-hexenoic acid methyl ester. See the Supporting Information for complete details.
- (9) Blanchette, M. A.; Choy, W.; Davis, J. T.; Essendorf, A. P.; Masamune, S.; Roush, W. R.; Sakai, T. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1984**, *25*, 2183–2186.
- (10) See Supporting Information for ORTEP representation and CIF data of **21**.
- (11) (a) Corey, E. J.; Bakshi, R. K.; Shibata, S.; Chen, C. P.; Singh, V. K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1987**, *109*, 7925–7926. (b) Mathre, D. J.; Jones, T. K.; Xavier, L. C.; Blacklock, T. J.; Reamer, R. A.; Mohan, J. J.; Jones, E. T. T.; Hoogsteen, K.; Baum, M. W.; Grabowski, E. J. *J. Org. Chem.* **1991**, *56*, 751–762.
- (12) Krishna, P. R.; Lopinti, K. R.; Kannan, V. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2004**, *45*, 7847–7850.
- (13) Relative stereochemical assignments are based on literature precedent. We cannot at this time rigorously establish the relative stereochemistries of these two epoxy alcohols. Lurain, A. E.; Carroll, P. J.; Walsh, P. J. *J. Org. Chem.* **2005**, *70*, 1262–1268.
- (14) Grieco, P. A.; Gilman, S.; Nishizawa, M. *J. Org. Chem.* **1976**, *41*, 1485–1486.
- (15) Zhou, X.-T.; Carter, R. G. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2006**, *45*, 7622–7626.

JA803012N